## MANIPULATION OF THE COMPLEMENT SYSTEM BY MICROORGANISMS AND MAN

Michael Kirschfink\*

Complement is considered an important mediator of inflammation which occurs as a natural response of the host tissue to any injury (1, 2).

By the activation of the two major pathways, phlogistic peptides like the anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a as well as the membrane attack complex C5b-9 are generated. The effector functions, arising from an activated complement system are potentially harmful — beneficial for the host as an important part of this defense system against microorganisms, but of disadvantage if the destructive potential is directed against his own tissue.

Both targets — microorganisms and man — have developed strategies to evade the deleterious attack of an activated complement system.

## Manipulation of Complement by Microorganisms

Microorganisms have evolved a variety of mechanisms to interfere with complement activation or to deviate the cascade reaction to outer membrane sites which prevents access of C3b and/or the lytic C5-9 complex to the vulnerable cytoplasmic membrane (3-5) (table 1).

On certain pathogens, molecules have been identified which share structural or genetic homology with complement proteins. Glycoproteins on Vaccinia virus, Herpes simplex virus and *Trypanosoma cruzi* resemble complement regulators like C4bp, CR1 and DAF, thereby preventing the C3 convertases'assembly. Furhermore, a number of microorganisms is able to take advantage of complement fixation on their surface to again entry into the host cell via complement receptors. Some of them, like Epstein-Barr virus or *Leishmania donovani* express surface molecules with complement-like motifs which enable the pathogens to directly bind to the receptor (table 1).

## Manipulation of Complement by Man

Genetic deficiency of complement or complement depletion has been effective in reducing injury in a number of complement-dependent inflammatory models (6). It is therefore believed that the successful inhibition of complement is likely to arrest the disease process.

A variety of synthetic compounds have been tested for their impact on the complement system. Many of the known synthetic complement inhibitors are toxic, not complement specific or require unrealistically high concentrations to inhibit complement *in vivo* (7).

Due to the high specificity and the absence of toxic side effects, the introduction of endogenous complement inhibitors like CR1, DAF or C1-Inhibitor (C1-Inh) appears to be a logical approach to block the complement system in vivo (table 2).

Institut füer Immunologie, Universitaet Heidelberg, Germany

 <sup>\*\*</sup> Correspondence: Michael Kirschfink, DMV, PhD, Institute of Immunology, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer, Germany, 6900 Heidelberg, Germany, Fax: 0049-6221-564030

Based on the hypothesis, that in sepsis a relative deficiency of C1-Inh might contribute to the development of fatal complication, substitution with this regulatory protein appears to be of advantage for the clinical outcome (8).

Soluble recombinant CR1 (srCR1) inhibits both the alternative and classical pathway activation in whole serum in a nanomolar concentration range. Serving as a cofactor for the serum protease factor I, srCR1, further acts to promote the degradation of C3b and C4b to inactive forms which no longer bind to srCR1, releasing the regulator to recycle in the inactivation process (9).

In different animal models, the application of srCR1 significantly reduced complement-mediated tissue damage, as shown for myocardial (9) as well as in intestinal (10) ischemia/reperfusion injury, hyperacute graft rejection (11), reverse passive Arthus reaction (12) and adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS, 13).

While the administration of srCR1 leads to an entire suppression of the cascade reaction, antibodies directed against the anaphylatoxin C5a target the peptide, which is thought to be responsible for most of the effects seen in complement-mediated tissue damage. In two models of septic shock, by the prophylactic administration of anti-C5a antibodies, the mortality rate was reduced to zero and the animals showed significant improvement of their hemodynamic condition (14, 15).

The problem of a rapid clearance of therapeutic reagents from the blood may be solved by creating chimeric proteins of the inhibitory molecules to other proteins, having longer half-lives, such as immunoglobulins (16).

Although some of the therapeutical strategies seem to be promising, drug designer have to take into consideration that the effective inhibition of complement will deprive the patient of one of his important immunological defense systems.

REFERENCES: 01. Rother, U., Till, G.D. (1988) The complement system. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. 02. Müller-Eberhard, H.J. (1988) Molecular organization and function of the complement system, Ann. Rev. Biochem. 57, 321-347. 03. Joiner, K.A. (1988) Complement evasion by bacteria and parasites. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 42, 201-230. 04. Cooper, N.R. (1991) Complement evasion strategies of microorganisms. Immunol. Today 12, 327-331. 05. Frank, M.M. (1992) The mechanism by which microorganisms avoid complement attack. Curr. Opinion Immunol. 4, 14-19. 06. Rother, K., Rother, U. (1986) Hereditary and acquired complement deficiencies in animals and man. Progress in Allergy 39, Karger Verlag, Basel, London, New York. 07. Asghar, S.S. (1984) Pharmacological manipulation of complement system. Pharm. Rev. 36, 223-244. 08. Hack, C.E., Voerman, H.J., Eisele, B., Keinecke, H.O., Nuijens, J.H., Eerenberg, A.J.M., Ogilvie, A., Strack van Schijndel, R.J.M., Delvos, U., Thijis, L.G., (1992) C1-esterease inhibitor substitution in sepsis. Lancet 339, 378. 09. Weisman, H.F., Bartow, T., Leppo, M.K., Marsh, H.C. Jr., Carson, G.R., Concino, M.F., Boyle, M.P., Roux, K.H., Weisfeldt, M.L., Fearon, D.T. (1990) Soluble human complement receptor type 1: In vivo inhibitor of complement suppressing post-ischemic myocardial inflammation and necrosis. Science 249, 146-151. 10. Hill, J., Lindsay, T.F., Ortiz, F., Yeh, C.G., Hechtman, H.B., Moore, F.D., Jr. (1992) Soluble complement receptor type 1 ameliorates the local and remote organ injury after intestinal ischemiareperfusion in the rat. J. Immunol. 149, 1723-1728. 11. Pruitt, S.K., Bollinger, R.R. (1991) The effect of soluble complement receptor type 1 on hyperacute allograft rejection. J. Surg. Res. 50, 350-355. 12. Yeh, C.G., Marsh, H.C. Jr.,

Carson, G.R., Berman, L., Concino, M.F., Scesney, S.M., Kuestner, R.E., Skibbens, R., Donahue, K.A., Ip, S.H. (1991) Recombinant soluble human complement receptor type 1 inhibits inflammation in the reversed passive Arthus reaction in rats. J. Immunol. 146, 250-256. 13. Rabenovici, R., Yeh, C.G., Hillegass, L.M., Griswold, D.E., DiMartino, M.J., Vernick, J., Fong, K.L.L., Feuerstein, G. (1992) Role of complement in endotoxin/platelet-activating factorinduced lung injury. J. Immunol. 149, 1744-1750. 14. Smedegard, G., Cui, L., Hugli, T.E. (1989) Endotoxin-induced shock in the rat. A role for C5a. Am. J. Pathol. 135, 489-497. 15. Stevens, J.H., O'Hanley, P., Shapiro, J.M., Mihm, F.G., Satoh, P.S., Collins, J.A., Raffin, T.A.: (1986) Effects of anti-C5a antibodies on the adult respiratory distress syndrome in septic primates. J. Clin. Invest. 77, 1812-1816. 16. Kalli, K.R., Bartow, T.J., Hsu, P., Klickstein, L.B., Ahearn, J.M., Matsumoto, A.K., Fearon, D.T.: (1991) Mapping of the C3b-binding site of CR1 and construction of a chimeric complement inhibitor. Complement Inflamm. 8, 171. 17. Basta, M., Kirschbom, P., Frank, M.M., Fries, L.F. (1989) Mechanisms of therapeutic effect of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin. Attenuation of acute complement-dependent immune damage in a guinea pig model. J. Clin. Invest. 84, 1974-1981. 18. Moran, P., Beasley, H., Gorrell, A., Martin, E., Gribling, P., Fuchs, H., Gillett, N., Burton, L.E., Caras, I.W. (1992) Human recombinant soluble decay accelerating factor inhibits complement activation in vitro and in vivo. J. Immunol. 149, 1736-1743. 19. Höpken, U., Oppermann, M., Montz, H., Mohr, M., Burchardi, H., Götze, O. (1992) Treatment of septic shock in pigs with a monoclonal antibody with specificity for a C5a necepitope. Immunobiol. 184, 430.

TABLE 1: COMPLEMENT EVASION STRATEGIES OF MICROORGANISMS

MECHANISM	MICROORGANISMS	
shedding of C3b/C5b-9 or binding of complement proteins to harmles sites	Salmonella spp., E. coli, Neisseria spp. Trypanoso- ma cruzi, Schistosoma mansoni	
destruction of complement proteins by proteases	Streptococcus spp.	
binding of complement regulators	Streptococcus spp.	
mimicry of complement regulators (C4bp, CR1, DAF)	Vaccinia virus, Herpes simplex virus, Epstein-Barr virus. Candida albicans, Trypanosoma cruzi, Plas- modium falciparum	
cell infection via comple- ment receptor, (CR2, CR3, CR4)	Epstein-Barr virus, West Nile virus HIV, Mycobac- terium leprae/tuberculosis Leishmania major/donovani Histoplasma capsulatum, Babesia rodhaini	

TABLE 2: STRATEGIES OF COMPLEMENT INHIBITION

APPLIED MOLECULE	ANIMAL MODELS	REFERENCES
CVF	ARDS	13
IgG	Forssman shock	17
srCR1	hyperacute graft rejection	11
	ischemia/reperfusion injury	9,10
	reverse passive Arthus reaction	12
minorano a 20 de casa de casa	ARDS	13
srDAF	reverse passive Arthus reaction	18
C1-Inhibitor	septic shock (man)	8
anti-C5a	septic shock	13, 14, 19

Huggen recombinant soluble decay acceleration factor inhibits complement ac-